

June 3, 2002

Docket Clerk California Public Utilities Commission 505 Van Ness Avenue San Francisco, California 94102

> RE: 2002 Annual Earnings Assessment Proceeding Errata to Application filed May 1, 2002

Dear Docket Clerk:

Enclosed for filing with the Commission are the original and eight copies of four pages of ERRATA TO THE APPLICATION BY SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY (U 338-E) IN SUPPORT OF PRE-1998 DEMAND-SIDE MANAGEMENT, 2001 ENERGY EFFICIENCY, AND 2000 AND 2001 LOW INCOME ENERGY EFFICIENCY EARNINGS CLAIMS, AND APPROVAL OF INTERRUPTIBLE LOAD PROGRAMS MEMORANDUM ACCOUNT BALANCES RECORDED IN 2001 in the above-referenced proceeding. These pages, numbered 1.6 through 1.9, contain tables which belong at the end of the Executive Summary, and were simply inadvertently omitted from our May 1 filing. They in no way alter our earnings claims or memorandum account balances.

We request that a copy of this document be file-stamped and returned for our records. A self-addressed, stamped envelope is enclosed for your convenience.

Your courtesy in this matter is appreciated.

Very truly yours,

Christa Piantadosi

Christa piantadose

LW021490040.doc Enclosures

cc: All Parties of Record – R.98-07-037 and A.99-05-002 et al. (U 338-E)

## BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Application of SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA	)	
EDISON COMPANY (U 338-E) for	)	
Approval of Energy Efficiency and Low	)	
Income Energy Efficiency Performance	)	
Incentives for 2001 Program Year	)	
Accomplishments, the Second Installment	)	Application No. 02-05-002
of Demand-Side Management and Low	)	
Income Shareholder Incentives for the	)	
2000 Program Year, and the Third	)	
Installment of Demand-Side Management	)	
Shareholder Incentives for the 1997	)	
Program Year, and Approval Of	)	
Interruptible Load Programs	)	·
Memorandum Account Balances Recorded	)	
in 2001.	)	
	)	

ERRATA TO THE APPLICATION BY SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY (U 338-E) IN SUPPORT OF PRE-1998 DEMAND-SIDE MANAGEMENT, 2001 ENERGY EFFICIENCY, AND 2000 AND 2001 LOW INCOME ENERGY EFFICIENCY EARNINGS CLAIMS, AND APPROVAL OF INTERRUPTIBLE LOAD PROGRAMS MEMORANDUM ACCOUNT BALANCES RECORDED IN 2001

MICHAEL MONTOYA CHRISTA PIANTADOSI

Attorneys for SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY

2244 Walnut Grove Avenue

Post Office Box 800

Rosemead, California 91770

Telephone: (626) 302-6617 Facsimile: (626) 302-2050

E-mail: christa.piantadosi@sce.com

Dated: June 3, 2002

Table 1.1	
2002 Energy Efficiency Annual Report	
SUMMARY OF ENERGY FEFICIENCY EXPENDITURES. I	FI FCTRIC

	2001 Budget	[1,2]	2001 Recorded	[1,2,3]	2002 Budget	[4,5]
Residential	\$ 28,105,000		\$ 27,727,247		\$ 15,150,000	
Nonresidential	33,306,584		32,778,024		22,430,000	
New Construction	15,407,416		15,041,813		10,974,000	
Crosscutting	•				12,350,000	[6]
MA&E and Regulatory Oversight	5,090,000		4,535,659		3,457,000	[7]
Shareholder Performance Incentives	5,591,000	[8]	5,591,000	[8]		[9]
Other Energy Efficiency [10]	2,500,000		1,904,727			
Unallocated [11]	·					
Total Energy Efficiency	\$ 90,000,000		\$ 87,578,470		\$ 64,361,000	
Total Summer Initiative [12]	\$ 21,250,000		\$ 16,296,102		\$ 1,999,938	
Total Energy Efficiency and Summer Initiative [13]	\$ 111,250,000		\$ 103,874,572		\$ 66,360,938	

<sup>[1]</sup> Amounts reflect Program Year 2001 (PY01) funds, including fund shifts during 2001,

<sup>[2]</sup> Amounts exclude \$4.5 million in DSM funds authorized for use in Advice 1570-E.

<sup>[3]</sup> All Recorded amounts include payments in 2001 and amounts committed to projects in 2001. Committed amounts may not be fully realized.

<sup>[4]</sup> Amounts reflect Program Year 2001 (PY02) funds, as requested on December 14, 2001.

<sup>[5]</sup> Excludes SCE's portion of \$50 million in PGC funds set aside for non-utilities.

<sup>[6]</sup> Includes proposed Statewide Crosscutting - Integrated Statewide Energy Efficiency Marketing and Outreach Program.

<sup>[7]</sup> MA&E request of SCE from December 14, 2001 SCE Proposal for 2002 funds.

<sup>[8]</sup> SCE's 2001 Shareholder Performance Award Cap is \$5.591 million.

<sup>[9]</sup> The Commission authorized no Shareholder Performance Awards in 2002.

<sup>[10] 2001</sup> Recorded costs include utility administrative costs associated with the Summer Initiative programs.

<sup>[11]</sup> Funds not authorized by the CPUC for expenditure.

<sup>[12]</sup> Does not include utility administrative costs associated with these programs.

<sup>[13]</sup> Additional Pensions and Benefits (P&B) costs not included in any funding tables.

## Table 1.2 2002 Energy Efficiency Annual Report SUMMARY OF ENERGY EFFICIENCY PROGRAM EFFECTS: ELECTRIC

	2001 First Year Net Annualized Capacity Savings (MW)	[1.2]	2001 First Year Net Annualized Energy Savings (kWh)	[1,2]	2001 Lifecycle Energy Savings (kWh)	[1.2]	2002 First Year Net Annualized Capacity Savings (MW)	[1]	2002 First Year Net Annualized Energy Savings (kWh)	(1)
Residential	51.22		119,656,153		1.588,025,516		12.71		61,139.000	
Nonresidential	50.53		265,909,569		1,516,862,895		23.76		106,778 000	
New Construction	19.44		68,704,561		750.017.684		10.31		31,928,000	
Crosscutting					•		0.42		29,527,000	
							·			.
Total Energy Efficiency	121.19		454,270,284		3,854,906,096		47.20	[3]	229,372,000	[3]
Total Summer Initiative	68.96	[4]	106,894,308	[4]						
Total Energy Efficiency and Summer Initiative	190.15		561,164,592	•	3,854,906,096		47.20		229,372,000	-

<sup>[1]</sup> Net Savings for reflect Commission-adopted net-to-gross ratios.

<sup>[2]</sup> Amounts exclude \$4.5 million in DSM funds authorized for use in Advice 1570-E,

resulting in approximately 20 million kWh and 4.0 MW.

<sup>[3]</sup> Forecasted Net Capacity and Energy Savings from SCE's November 14, 2001 proposal for 2002 programs. Not all programs were required to claim energy savings.

<sup>[4]</sup> Summer Initiative Load impacts are recorded (actual + committed) inception-to-date.

Table 1.3
2002 Energy Efficiency Annual Report
SUMMARY OF COST-EFFECTIVENESS: ELECTRIC
(Benefit-Cost Ratios)

	2001 Program Administrator Cost Test [1]	2001 Total Resource Cost Test [1]	2002 Program Administrator Cost Test [2]	2002 Total Resource Cost Test [2]
Residential	2.58	2.08	2.34	1.81
Nonresidential	6.06	4.86	3.95	2.42
New Construction	5.62	4.01	2.06	1.74
Crosscutting		•	6.58	2.97
otal Energy Efficiency	4.78	3.74	3.13	2.16

<sup>[1]</sup> Includes all costs from Tables TA 2.1, TA 3.1, TA 4.1 - Program Cost Estimates Used for Cost-Effectiveness.

<sup>[2]</sup> Based upon SCE's November 14, 2001 proposal for 2002 programs. Includes MA&E costs. Includes only costs and benefits for those programs with cost-effectiveness showings in November 14, 2001 proposal.

## Table 1.4 2002 Energy Efficiency Annual Report SUMMARY OF COST-EFFECTIVENESS: ELECTRIC (Net Benefits)

	2001 TRC [1]	2002 TRC [2]
Residential	\$ 40,048,537	\$ 13,923,000
Nonresidential	191,036,603	41,239,000
New Construction	75,726,960	10,012,000
Crosscutting		9,994,000
Total Energy Efficiency	\$ 306,812,099	\$ 75,168,000

<sup>[1]</sup> Includes all costs from Tables TA 2.1, TA 3.1, TA 4.1 - Program Cost Estimates Used for Cost-Effectiveness.

<sup>[2]</sup> Based upon SCE's November 14, 2001 proposal for 2002 programs. Includes MA&E costs. Includes only costs and benefits for those programs with cost-effectiveness showings in November 14, 2001 proposal.

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that, pursuant to the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, I have this day served a true copy of ERRATA TO THE APPLICATION BY SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY (U 338-E) IN SUPPORT OF PRE-1998 DEMAND-SIDE MANAGEMENT, 2001 ENERGY EFFICIENCY, AND 2000 AND 2001 LOW INCOME ENERGY EFFICIENCY EARNINGS CLAIMS, AND APPROVAL OF INTERRUPTIBLE LOAD PROGRAMS MEMORANDUM ACCOUNT BALANCES RECORDED IN 2001 on all parties identified on the attached service list. Service was effected by means indicated below:

<b>∏</b> î.	Placing the copies in properly addressed sealed envelopes and
<i>(</i>	depositing such envelopes in the United States mail with
	first-class postage prepaid (Via First Class Mail);
	Placing the copies in sealed envelopes and causing such
	envelopes to be delivered by hand to the offices of each
	addressee (Via Courier);
	Transmitting the copies via facsimile, modem, or other

Executed this 3rd day of June, 2002, at Rosemend, California.

Tonya Hunter

electronic means (Via Electronic Means).

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY

2244 Walnut Grove Avenue

Post Office Box 800

Rosemead, California 91770

caseadmin@sce.com